

Lecture 2

Postulates of Quantum Mechanics

Recall from last lecture that QC is linear. Today we discuss the notation we use in QC for linear algebra (**Dirac notation**) and formalize our notion of QC

(Ket) Let V be finite-dimensional vector space. We write $|v\rangle$ (or $|4\rangle$ or $|4\rangle$ or $|q\rangle\dots$) to denote a vector of V . $|v\rangle$ is called a **Ket**. Field of V

(Bra) Given $|v\rangle \in V$, we write its **dual** or **adjoint** as $\langle v|: V \rightarrow F_V$. $\langle v|$ is called a **Bra**. For a complex vector space $\mathbb{C}^d$, $\langle v| = |v\rangle^\dagger \stackrel{\text{conjugate}}{=} |v\rangle^*$ which is the **conjugate-transpose** of $|v\rangle$

(Example) In $\mathbb{C}^2$, say $|v\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ i \end{bmatrix}$. Then $\langle v| = [1 \ -i]$

In general, if $|v\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix}$, Then

$$\langle v| = [a^* \ b^*] \text{ where } (c+di)^* = c-di$$

(Inner products)

Recall that on $\mathbb{C}^d$, the inner product between $v = \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ \vdots \\ v_d \end{bmatrix}$ and $w = \begin{bmatrix} w_1 \\ \vdots \\ w_d \end{bmatrix}$ is

$$\langle v, w \rangle = \sum_i \bar{v}_i w_i$$

This is $\langle v | \cdot | w \rangle = [v_1^* \cdots v_d^*] \begin{bmatrix} w_1 \\ \vdots \\ w_d \end{bmatrix}$ and we denote this product by $\langle v | w \rangle$

(Bases) $\swarrow$ i^{th} elementary vector $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \}_{i=1}^d$
We denote e_i by the special ket $|i\rangle$
and call this the **computational basis**

Ex.

$$\begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix} = a \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + b \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = a|0\rangle + b|1\rangle$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{bmatrix} = a|0\rangle + b|1\rangle + c|2\rangle$$

Note:

$$\langle i | j \rangle = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

(Unit vector)

A **unit vector** (in VS 's we care about) is a vector v s.t. $\|v\| = \langle v | v \rangle^2 = 1$

Postulate #1 of QM

The state of an isolated physical system is a **unit vector** in a **complex vector space** $\mathbb{C}^d$, $d \geq 1$

We will almost exclusively use **Qubit** systems, which have **state space** $\mathbb{C}^2$ and computational basis $\{|0\rangle, |1\rangle\}$

(Notation)

We write the state of a qubit as

$$|\psi\rangle = a|0\rangle + b|1\rangle$$

and say that $|\psi\rangle$ is in a **superposition** of states $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$ with **amplitudes** a & b

(Tensor product)

Given two VSs V, W , the **tensor product** $V \otimes W$ of V & W is a VS

with a bilinear map $\otimes: V \times W \rightarrow V \otimes W$

which allows us to **combine** vectors $v \in V, w \in W$ into a single vector $v \otimes w \in V \otimes W$

(Abstract definition: $\otimes$ is the "free-st" possible bilinear map, so that all others "factor through" $\otimes$)

(Concrete defn for finite dimensions)

If V and W have bases $\{|e_i\rangle\}$ and $\{|f_j\rangle\}$, resp., then $V \otimes W$ has the basis

$$\{|e_i\rangle \otimes |f_j\rangle\}$$

and if $v = \sum_i a_i |e_i\rangle$, $w = \sum_j b_j |f_j\rangle$, then

$$v \otimes w = \sum_{i,j} a_i b_j |e_i\rangle \otimes |f_j\rangle$$

Ex.

$\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$ is a complex VS with basis

$$\{|0\rangle_1 \otimes |0\rangle_2, |0\rangle_1 \otimes |1\rangle_2, |1\rangle_1 \otimes |0\rangle_2, |1\rangle_1 \otimes |1\rangle_2\}$$

Note that $\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$ has dim 4, and so

$$\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2 \simeq \mathbb{C}^4$$

If we have $|\psi\rangle = a|0\rangle + b|1\rangle$, $|\varphi\rangle = c|0\rangle + d|1\rangle$, then

$$|\psi\rangle \otimes |\varphi\rangle = ac|0\rangle|0\rangle + ad|0\rangle|1\rangle + bc|1\rangle|0\rangle + bd|1\rangle|1\rangle$$

Note: we often drop $\otimes$ in Dirac notation

(Representation of $v \otimes w$ & Kronecker product)

In practice, we use the Kronecker product $\otimes$

$$\begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} c \\ d \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a \begin{bmatrix} c \\ d \end{bmatrix} \\ b \begin{bmatrix} c \\ d \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} ac \\ ad \\ bc \\ bd \end{bmatrix}$$

And write vectors of $\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^m$ as vectors in $\mathbb{C}^{nm}$

Postulate #2 of QM

If two systems have state spaces V and W resp., their combined state ~~space~~ is $V \otimes W$
a unit vector in

(Systems of qubits)

By postulate #2, a system of n qubits has state space $\underbrace{\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbb{C}^2}_{n \text{ times}} \simeq \mathbb{C}^{2^n}$.

The computational basis of $\mathbb{C}^{2^n}$ has 2^n elements, which we denote by $|\vec{x}\rangle, \vec{x} \in \{0,1\}^n$
e.g. $|0001\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^{2^4}, |011100\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^{2^7}$

This means a vector $|\psi\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^{2^n}$ is in a superposition of n -bit classical states

(Note)

The following are interchangeable:

$$|0\rangle \otimes |0\rangle = |0\rangle |0\rangle = |00\rangle = |0\rangle$$

$$|0\rangle \otimes |1\rangle = |0\rangle |1\rangle = |01\rangle = |1\rangle \quad \text{as numbers in binary}$$

$$|1\rangle \otimes |0\rangle = |1\rangle |0\rangle = |10\rangle = |2\rangle$$

This coincides with the Kronecker product, since

$$|1\rangle \otimes |0\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ 1 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = e_3$$

(Product & entangled vectors)

$V \otimes W$ differs from $V \times W$ because not every element of $V \otimes W$ can be written as $v \otimes w$

Ex.

$$\text{The vector } |\Phi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|00\rangle + |11\rangle)$$

cannot be written in the form $|\psi\rangle \otimes |\varphi\rangle$ for $|\psi\rangle, |\varphi\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^2$

This vector is called a **Bell state**

We call a state $|\psi\rangle$ a **product state** if it can be written as $|a\rangle \otimes |b\rangle$. Otherwise it is **entangled**

(linear operators)

A linear operator $A: V \rightarrow W$ between VS's V, W is a map which is **linear**, i.e.

$$A(\alpha|\psi\rangle + \beta|\varphi\rangle) = \alpha A|\psi\rangle + \beta A|\varphi\rangle$$

Note: we often define a linear operator by giving its **action** on a basis $\{|e_i\rangle\}$ of V , e.g.

$$\begin{aligned} A: |0\rangle &\mapsto |1\rangle \\ |1\rangle &\mapsto |0\rangle \end{aligned} \quad \text{or just } A: |x\rangle \mapsto |1-x\rangle, x \in \{0,1\}$$

Boolean "Not"

This is valid since by linearity,

$$A(\sum_i a_i |e_i\rangle) = \sum_i a_i (A|e_i\rangle)$$

(matrix representations)

A linear operator $A: V \rightarrow W$ can be represented by a matrix $\{A_{ij}\} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{00} & \cdots & A_{0n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ A_{m0} & \cdots & A_{mn} \end{bmatrix}$ over bases $\{|e_i\rangle\}$ and $\{|f_j\rangle\}$ of V, W resp. Such that

$$A|e_i\rangle = \sum_j A_{ij} |f_j\rangle$$

Ex.

The matrix representation of the linear operator $A: |x\rangle \mapsto |\neg x\rangle$, $x \in \{0, 1\}$ is

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

The matrix representation acts on a vector via matrix-vector multiplication. Ex.

$$A|0\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = |1\rangle$$

(Outer products)

Another convenient way of describing an operator A is as a sum of **outer products** of basis vectors. Outer products are written in Dirac notation as $|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$.

Ex. $|0\rangle\langle 1| = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, $|1\rangle\langle 1| = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

Fact:

orthonormal

Given VS's V & W with bases $\{|e_i\rangle\}$, $\{|f_j\rangle\}$, any linear operator $A: V \rightarrow W$ can be expressed as

$$A = \sum_{ij} A_{ij} |f_j\rangle \langle e_i|$$

Comes from completeness $\sum_i |e_i\rangle \langle e_i| = I = \sum_j |f_j\rangle \langle f_j|$

$$A = I A I$$

$$= \sum_{ij} |f_j\rangle \langle f_j| A |e_i\rangle \langle e_i|$$

$$= \sum_{ij} (\langle f_j | A | e_i \rangle) |f_j\rangle \langle e_i|$$

Classes of operators

- Normal: $AA^\dagger = A^\dagger A$
- Unitary: $UU^\dagger = I = U^\dagger U$
- Hermitian: $A = A^\dagger$
- Projector: $p^2 = p$

← conjugate transpose

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a^* & c^* \\ b^* & d^* \end{bmatrix}$$

← important

(Note: $|\psi\rangle \langle \psi|$ is the projector onto state $|\psi\rangle$. We often use projectors of the form $|0\rangle \langle 0|$ and $|1\rangle \langle 1|$)

- Positive: $\langle \psi | A | \psi \rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall |\psi\rangle$

(Tensor products of operators)

As with states we can combine operators on different systems with the **tensor product**.

If $A: V \rightarrow W$ and $B: X \rightarrow Y$, then $A \otimes B: V \otimes X \rightarrow W \otimes Y$ and

$$(A \otimes B)(|\psi\rangle \otimes |\phi\rangle) = A|\psi\rangle \otimes B|\phi\rangle$$

As with states, we use the **Kronecker product** to represent a tensor product of operators as a matrix

$$\begin{matrix} \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \\ \parallel \\ A \end{matrix} \otimes \begin{matrix} \begin{bmatrix} e & f \\ g & h \end{bmatrix} \\ \parallel \\ B \end{matrix} = \begin{bmatrix} aB & bB \\ cB & dB \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} ae & af & be & bf \\ ag & ah & bg & bh \\ ce & cf & de & df \\ cg & ch & dg & dh \end{bmatrix}$$

Ex.

Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, which swaps $|0\rangle \leftrightarrow |1\rangle$

$$\text{Then } A \otimes I = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{Now } (A \otimes I)(|0\rangle \otimes |1\rangle) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = |1\rangle \otimes |1\rangle$$

In general, $A \otimes I$ "leaves the second system unchanged"

Properties of $\otimes$

$$1. s(A \otimes B) = sA \otimes B = A \otimes sB$$

$$2. (A+B) \otimes C = A \otimes C + B \otimes C$$

$$3. A \otimes (B+C) = A \otimes B + A \otimes C$$

$$4. A \otimes (B \otimes C) = (A \otimes B) \otimes C$$

$$5. (A \otimes B)^+ = A^+ \otimes B^+$$

$$6. (A \otimes B)(C \otimes D) = AC \otimes BD$$

Postulate #3 of QM

The physical evolution of an isolated quantum system is represented by a unitary operator on the state space

(unitary operators satisfy $\|U|\psi\rangle\| = \||\psi\rangle\|$ and hence take unit vectors to unit vectors)

Ex.

Common unitaries in QC include

$$I = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad X = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad Z = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \quad Y = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

(Pauli operators)

$$\text{Also } H = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (\text{hadamard operator})$$

Note that

$$\begin{array}{l} X: |0\rangle \mapsto |1\rangle \\ |1\rangle \mapsto |0\rangle \end{array}$$

Bit flip

$$\begin{array}{l} Z: |0\rangle \mapsto |0\rangle \\ |1\rangle \mapsto (-1)|1\rangle \end{array}$$

Phase flip

And also that

$$\begin{aligned} HXH &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= Z \end{aligned}$$

Postulate #4 of QM (Measurement)

$\mathcal{L} \ni \{M_m\}$ be a set of operators with associated **outcomes** m and such that

$$\sum_m M_m^\dagger M_m = I$$

Then the **measurement** of a state $|\psi\rangle$ with respect to $\{M_m\}$ produces outcome m with probability

$$p(m) = \langle \psi | M_m^\dagger M_m | \psi \rangle$$

and leaves the system in the state

$$\frac{M_m |\psi\rangle}{\sqrt{p(m)}} = \frac{M_m |\psi\rangle}{\sqrt{\langle \psi | M_m^\dagger M_m | \psi \rangle}}$$

Note: by linearity,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_m p(m) &= \sum_m \langle \psi | M_m^\dagger M_m | \psi \rangle \\ &= \langle \psi | \left(\sum_m M_m^\dagger M_m \right) | \psi \rangle \\ &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

Ex.

Recall that $|0\rangle\langle 0| + |1\rangle\langle 1| = I$

Measuring the state $|\psi\rangle = a|0\rangle + b|1\rangle$ w.r.t $\{M_0 = |0\rangle\langle 0|, M_1 = |1\rangle\langle 1|\}$ produces outcome 0 with

$$p(0) = \langle \psi | M_0 + M_1 | \psi \rangle = \langle \psi | 0 \rangle \langle 0 | \psi \rangle \\ = |a|^2$$

The state after measurement is then

$$\frac{a}{|a|} |0\rangle$$

This is **measurement in the computational basis**

More generally, we can measure in any orthonormal basis $\{|e_i\rangle\}$ by setting $M_i = |e_i\rangle\langle e_i|$

projector onto $|e_i\rangle$

Measurement of $\{M_i\}$ will **project** or **collapse** a state onto this basis. We call this a **projective** or **von Neumann** measurement

Prop.

Any von Neumann measurement reduces to Unitary transformations and computational basis measurements

PF $M_i = |e_i\rangle\langle e_i| = U|i\rangle\langle i|U^\dagger$ ← $U: |i\rangle \mapsto |e_i\rangle$ is unitary
 $|\psi'\rangle = U^\dagger|\psi\rangle$
So $\langle \psi | M_i + M_j | \psi \rangle = \langle \psi | U|i\rangle\langle i|U^\dagger + U|j\rangle\langle j|U^\dagger | \psi \rangle = \langle \psi' | |i\rangle\langle i| + |j\rangle\langle j| | \psi' \rangle$
and $M_i|\psi\rangle = U|i\rangle\langle i|U^\dagger|\psi\rangle = U(|i\rangle\langle i|\psi'\rangle)$

(Measurement of composite systems)

Often we want to only measure 1 system in a composite system. Here we can note that if $\sum_m M_m^\dagger M_m = I$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_m (M_m \otimes I)^\dagger (M_m \otimes I) &= \sum_m (M_m^\dagger \otimes I)(M_m \otimes I) \quad (\text{prop. of } \otimes) \\ &= \sum_m M_m^\dagger M_m \otimes I \quad (\text{prop. of } \otimes) \\ &= (\sum_m M_m^\dagger M_m) \otimes I \quad (\text{prop. of } \otimes) \\ &= I \otimes I \\ &= I\end{aligned}$$

Ex.

Suppose we measure the state

$$|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} (|00\rangle - |01\rangle + |10\rangle + |11\rangle)$$

v.r.t. the computational basis in the first qubit

$$\begin{aligned}P(0) &= \langle\psi| (|0\rangle\langle 0| \otimes I) |\psi\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \langle\psi| (|00\rangle - |01\rangle) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} (\langle 00|00\rangle + \langle 01|01\rangle) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\end{aligned}$$

And the resulting state for outcome 0 would be

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{(|0\rangle\langle 0| \otimes I) |\psi\rangle}{\sqrt{1/2}} &= \frac{\frac{1}{2} (|00\rangle - |01\rangle)}{\sqrt{1/2}} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|00\rangle - |01\rangle)\end{aligned}$$

(Phase invariance)

Given states $|\psi\rangle$ and $|\psi'\rangle = e^{i\theta}|\psi\rangle$, we can note that the probability of measuring outcome n is the same for $|\psi\rangle$ and $|\psi'\rangle$:

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\psi'|M_n^\dagger M_n|\psi'\rangle &= \langle\psi|e^{-i\theta}M_n^\dagger M_n e^{i\theta}|\psi\rangle \\ &= \langle\psi|M_n^\dagger M_n|\psi\rangle\end{aligned}$$

We call this a **global phase** and often ignore global phases since measurement can't observe them

Measurement can however detect **relative phase**

$$|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle + |1\rangle), \quad |\psi'\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle - |1\rangle)$$

by changing to an appropriate basis:

$$H|\psi\rangle = |0\rangle, \quad H|\psi'\rangle = |1\rangle$$

(Notation)

$$\text{We denote } \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle + |1\rangle) = H|0\rangle = |+\rangle$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle - |1\rangle) = H|1\rangle = |-\rangle$$

Observe that $\{|+\rangle, |-\rangle\}$ is a basis of $\mathbb{C}^2$